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#### Abstract

C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4}, M_{r}=174.21\), monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$, $a=5.863$ (2),$\quad b=7.656$ (1), $c=21.187$ (3) $\AA, \quad \beta=$ 94.92 (2) ${ }^{\circ}, \quad V=947.5(3) \AA^{3}, \quad Z=4, \quad D_{x}=$ $1.221 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ at $295(1) \mathrm{K}, \lambda(\mathrm{CuK} \mathrm{\alpha})=1.54184 \AA$, $\mu=6.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, F(000)=368,1956$ unique reflections measured, final $R=0.037$ for 1652 reflections with $I>3.0 \sigma(I)$. The triazene moiety is twisted $10.9(3)^{\circ}$ and bent down $2.1(2)^{\circ}$ from the mean plane of the benzene ring, but is otherwise no different from other phenyltriazenes. However, the benzene ring shows significant deviation from planarity toward a twist-boat conformation. There is also 'quinoidal' shortening of the aromatic bonds, indicating significant through-resonance of the triazeno and para-cyano groups.


Introduction. DTIC, 5-(3,3-dimethyl-1-triazeno)imi-dazole-4-carboxamide, has been widely used to treat malignant melanoma. When attached to aromatic rings, the 3,3-dimethyl-1-triazeno moiety $\left[-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NN}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ has also yielded compounds having antitumor activity (Hatheway, Hansch, Kim, Milstein, Schmidt, Smith \& Quinn, 1978; Denny, Cain, Atwell, Hansch \& Leo, 1982). In addition, the carcinogenicity and mutagenicity of the triazenes have been studied (Venger, Hansch, Hatheway \& Amrein, 1979). We report herein the structure determination of the title compound (I), which was undertaken in order to obtain reliable coordinates for molecular-orbital calculations to be used in a structure-activity analysis of substituted 1 -phenyl-3,3-dimethyltriazenes (II).


Experimental. A light beige tabular crystal, grown by slow evaporation from acetonitrile, $0.05 \times 0.30 \times$ 0.30 mm , mounted on a glass fiber in random orientation on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 computer-controlled $\kappa$-axis diffractometer equipped with a graphitecrystal incident-beam monochromator, $\lambda(\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha)=$ $1.54184 \AA$. Cell constants from 25 reflections, $20<$
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$\theta<25^{\circ}$. Space group determined to be $P 2, / c$ from systematic absences.

Data were collected ( $h=-7$ to $7, k=0$ to 9 , $l=-26$ to 26) using $\omega-2 \theta$ scans, variable scan rate $1-4^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$, to a maximum $2 \theta$ of $150^{\circ}$. Equivalent intensities averaged, $R=0.021$ for 3157 reflections, 1956 of which were unique. Three representative reflections ( $300,040,002$ ) were measured every 166 minutes, and these showed a total intensity loss of $1.6 \%$; thus, a linear decay correction was applied ranging from 1.000 to 1.008 . Lorentz and polarization corrections were applied. An empirical absorption correction, based on a series of $\psi$ scans, yielded relative transmission coefficients ranging from 0.928 to 0.997 . A secondary-extinction correction was applied and refined in least squares to $3.6(4) \times 10^{-6}$ (absolute units).
Structure solution by MULTAN82 (Main, Fiske, Hull, Lessinger, Germain, Declercq \& Woolfson, 1982), and successive difference Fourier syntheses. All atoms, including H atoms, were located and included in the refinement. The structure was refined by weighted full-matrix least squares using two different programs: SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976) and SDP/VAX (Frenz, 1978). Both programs refined the same unconstrained model in which anisotropic thermal parameters were varied for all atoms except H atoms, which retained variable isotropic thermal parameters. The final models from the two least-squares programs were essentially identical, with all geometric and thermal parameters and their variances agreeing within the limits of error. The results from SHELX76 are cited below.

The function minimized was $\sum w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2}$, and weights were assigned as $w^{-1}=\sigma(F)^{2}+p F^{2}$, where $p$ was a variable which refined to 0.0019 . Scattering factors, including anomalous dispersion, from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). 1652 reflections having $I>3 \sigma(I)$ used in refinement. The final cycle included 159 variables and converged (largest $\Delta / \sigma=0.02$ ) to $R=0.037, \omega R=0.065, R$ (all) $=0 \cdot 049$, and $S=1 \cdot 22$. There were no correlation coefficients greater than 0.50 , and the maximum and minimum residual electron densities were 0.19 (2) and $-0 \cdot 10(2)$ e $\AA^{-3}$. Other programs used were ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) and PLUTO78 (Motherwell \& Clegg, 1978).
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Table 1. Positional parameters and their e.s.d.'s

|  | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{\text {eq }}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N1 | 0.6000 (2) | 0.8866 (1) | 0.28316 (4) | 4.32 (2) |
| N2 | 0.7040 (2) | 0.8372 (1) | 0.33515 (4) | 4.34 (2) |
| N3 | 0.5858 (2) | 0.8543 (1) | 0.38487 (4) | 4.85 (2) |
| N4 | 1.2253 (2) | 0.8261 (2) | 0.02953 (5) | 7.03 (3) |
| C1 | 0.7374 (2) | 0.8648 (1) | 0.23180 (4) | 3.87 (2) |
| C2 | 0.6564 (2) | 0.9436 (1) | $0 \cdot 17498$ (5) | 4.40 (2) |
| C3 | 0.7797 (2) | 0.9350 (2) | $0 \cdot 12241$ (5) | 4.56 (2) |
| C4 | 0.9881 (2) | 0.8469 (1) | $0 \cdot 12624$ (5) | 4.28 (2) |
| C5 | 1.0695 (2) | 0.7658 (1) | $0 \cdot 18274$ (5) | 4.60 (2) |
| C6 | 0.9450 (2) | 0.7734 (1) | 0.23496 (5) | 4.43 (2) |
| C7 | 0.7081 (3) | 0.8174 (3) | 0.44555 (6) | 6.39 (4) |
| C8 | 0.3617 (3) | 0.9333 (2) | 0.38129 (7) | 6.14 (3) |
| C9 | 1.1200 (2) | 0.8358 (2) | 0.07215 (5) | 5.11 (3) |
| H2 | 0.502 (3) | 1.005 (2) | 0.1739 (6) | 5.5 (3)* |
| H3 | 0.729 (3) | 0.994 (2) | 0.0824 (7) | 6.6 (3)* |
| H5 | 1.228 (3) | 0.706 (2) | 0.1871 (7) | 6.4 (3)* |
| H6 | 1.008 (3) | 0.719 (2) | 0.2724 (8) | 5.8 (3)* |
| H7A | 0.861 (4) | 0.769 (3) | 0.4394 (9) | 8.3 (5)* |
| H7B | 0.616 (4) | 0.742 (3) | 0.467 (1) | 9.7 (5)* |
| H7C | 0.725 (4) | 0.924 (3) | 0.469 (1) | 11.3 (7)* |
| H8A | 0.299 (4) | 0.912 (3) | 0.420 (1) | 8.7 (5)* |
| H8B | 0.267 (4) | 0.874 (3) | 0.344 (1) | 9.7 (5)* |
| H8C | 0.371 (5) | 1.054 (4) | 0.371 (1) | 11.3 (7)* |

Starred atoms were refined isotropically. The equivalent isotropic thermal parameter, for atoms refined anisotropically, is defined by the equation
${ }_{3}^{4}\left[a^{2} B_{11}+b^{2} B_{22}+c^{2} B_{33}+a b B_{12} \cos \gamma+a c B_{13} \cos \beta+b c B_{23} \cos \alpha\right]$.

Table 2. Bond lengths $(\AA)$, bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ and torsion angles ${ }^{( }{ }^{\circ}$ )

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| N1-N2 | $1.270(1)$ | C1-C6 | $1.401(1)$ |
| N1-C1 | $1.418(1)$ | C2-C3 | $1.380(2)$ |
| N2-N3 | $1.316(1)$ | C3-C4 | $1.392(2)$ |
| N3-C7 | $1.445(2)$ | C4-C5 | $1.396(1)$ |
| N3-C8 | $1.442(2)$ | C4-C9 | $1.439(2)$ |
| N4-C9 | $1.139(2)$ | C5-C6 | $1.378(2)$ |
| C1-C2 | $1.393(1)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| N2-N1-C1 | $111.62(8)$ | C1-C2-C3 | $120.9(1)$ |
| N1-N2-N3 | $114.68(9)$ | C2-C3-C4 | $119.6(1)$ |
| N2-N3-C7 | $115.9(1)$ | C3-C4-C5 | $120.0(1)$ |
| N2-N3-C8 | $122.7(1)$ | C3-C4-C9 | $120.8(1)$ |
| C7-N3-C8 | $120.6(1)$ | C5-C4-C9 | $119.3(1)$ |
| N1-C1-C2 | $116.00(9)$ | C4-C5-C6 | $120.3(1)$ |
| N1-C1-C6 | $124.78(8)$ | C1-C6-C5 | $120.04(9)$ |
| C2-C1-C6 | $119.21(9)$ | N4-C9-C4 | $179.5(1)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| C1-N1-N2-N3 | $179.87(11)$ | C2-C1-C6-C5 | $1.61(15)$ |
| N2-N1-C1-C2 | $-168.82(09)$ | C1-C2-C3-C4 | $-0.21(16)$ |
| N2-N1-C1-C6 | $10.32(14)$ | C2-C3-C4-C5 | $0.95(17)$ |
| N1-N2-N3-C7 | $-173.44(12)$ | C2-C3-C4-C9 | $179.92(14)$ |
| N1-N2-N3-C8 | $-3.78(16)$ | C3-C4-C5-C6 | $-0.40(16)$ |
| N1-C1-C2-C3 | $178.13(10)$ | C9-C4-C5-C6 | $-179.38(11)$ |
| C6-C1-C2-C3 | $-1.06(15)$ | C4-C5-C6-C1 | $-0.89(16)$ |
| N1-C1-C6-C5 | $-177.50(10)$ |  |  |

Discussion. Final positional and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters of (I) are shown in Table 1, and bond lengths, bond angles and torsion angles are shown in Table 2.* The molecule and the numbering scheme

[^1]used are shown in Fig. 1, and the unit cell is shown in Fig. 2.

Comparison of (I) with previously determined structures of type (II) (Kondrashev, 1974; Edwards, Chapuis, Templeton \& Zalkin, 1977; Lunazzi, Cerioni, Foresti \& Macciantelli, 1978; Mackay, McIntyre \& Taylor, 1982; Randall \& Schwalbe, 1984) shows that the bond distances, bond angles and torsion angles involving the triazene are all very similar (Table 3), even when hydrogen bonding occurs (Edwards et al., 1977).

There are small but statistically significant distortions of the benzene ring. It is non-planar $\left(\chi^{2}=192\right)$, with a maximum deviation from the mean plane of 0.010 (1) $\AA$. The intra-annular torsion angles are diagnostic of a twist-boat conformation. A similar trend toward twist-boat was observed in $p$-cyanoaniline (Merlino \& Sartori, 1982). This is in contrast to $p$-benzoquinone, which has a chair conformation at low temperature (van Bolhuis \& Kiers, 1978).
$p$-Cyanoaniline (Merlino \& Sartori, 1982) and $p$-nitroaniline (Colapietro, Domenicano, Marciante \& Portalone, 1982) both display significant 'quinoidal' shortening. In (I), the average length of bonds C2-C3 and C5-C6 [1.379 (1) $\AA$ ] is also significantly less than the average of the other four bonds [ 1 -396 (1) $\AA$ ]. This effect has been treated theoretically (Domenicano, Vaciago \& Coulson, 1975; Hiberty \& Ohanessian, 1984). There is thus significant through-resonance when the triazene function is conjugated with a strong electron acceptor. Kazitsyna, Ustynyuk, Gurman, Pergushov \& Gruzdneva (1977) showed that through-


Fig. 1. View of a molecule of $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$.


Fig. 2. Stereoview of the unit cell.

Table 3. Comparison of geometries $\left(\AA_{,}^{\circ}\right)$ of selected phenyltriazenes

Domenicano, A., Vaciago, A. \& Coulson, C. A. (1975). Acta Cryst. B31, 221-234.
Edwards, S. L., Chapuis, G., Templeton, D. H. \& Zalkin, A. (1977). Acta Cryst. B33, 276-278.

Frenz, B. A. (1978). The Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 Structure Determination Package - A Real-Time System for Concurrent X-ray Data Collection and Crystal Structure Solution. In Computing in Crystallography, edited by H. Schenk, R. Olthof-Hazekamp, H. van Koningsveld \& G. C. Bassi, pp. 64-71. Delft Univ. Press.
Hatheway, G. J., Hansch, C., Kim, K. H., Milstein, S. R., Schmidt, C. L., Smith, R. N. \& Quinn, F. R. (1978). J. Med. Chem. 21, 563-574.
Hiberty, P. C. \& Ohanessian, G. (1984). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106, 6963-6968.
International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
Johnson, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
Kazitsyna, L. A., Ustynyuk, Yu. K., Gurman, V. S., Pergushov, V. I. \& Gruzdneva, V. N. (1977). Dokl. Acad. Nauk SSSR, 233, 213-219.
Kondrashev, Yu. D. (1974). Zh. Strukt. Khim. 15, 441-446.
Lunazzi, L., Cerioni, G., Foresti, E. \& Macciantelli, D. (1978). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 686-691.
mackay, D., McIntyre, D. D. \& Taylor, N. J. (1982). J. Org. Chem. 47, 532-535.
Main, P., Fiske, S. J., Hull, S. E., Lessinger, L., Germain, G., Declerce, J.-P. \& Woolfson, M. M. (1982). MULTAN82. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
Merino, S. \& Sartori, F. (1982). Acta Cryst. B38, 1476-1480.
Motherwell, W. D. S. \& Clegg, W. (1978). PLUTO78. Program for plotting molecular and crystal structures. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
Randall, A. J. \& Schwalbe, C. H. (1984). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 251-253.
Sheldrick, G. (1976). SHELX76. Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
Venger, B. H., Hansch, C., Hatheway, G. J. \& Amrein, Y. U. (1979). J. Med. Chem. 22, 473-476.

# Structure of 2-Bromo-4-(2-chlorophenyl)-6H-thieno[3,2-f $[1,2,4]$ triazolo-[4,3-a][1,4]diazepine (I) and of its 9-Cyclohexyl Derivative (II)* 
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Abstract. (I) $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{BrClN}_{4} \mathrm{~S}, M_{r}=379.7$, monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c, a=12.385$ (2),$b=8.674$ (1),$c=14.335$ (2) $\AA$, $\beta=104.91(1)^{\circ}, \quad V=1488.1 \AA^{3}, \quad Z=4, \quad D_{x}=$ $1.695 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \lambda($ Мо $K \alpha)=0.71069 \AA, \mu=29.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$,
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$F(000)=752, T=293 \mathrm{~K}, R=0.045$ for 2110 reflections $[I>2.5 \sigma(I)]$. (II) $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrClN}_{4} \mathrm{~S}, M_{r}=461 \cdot 8$, monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c, a=8.140(1), b=14.982$ (7), $c$ $=16.095$ (9) $\AA, \quad \beta=99.09(3)^{\circ}, \quad V=1938.2 \AA^{3}, Z=$ 4, $D_{x}=1.583 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \quad \lambda(\mathrm{Mo} K \alpha)=0.71069 \AA, \mu=$ $23.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, F(000)=936, T=293 \mathrm{~K}, R=0.028$ for 2544 reflections $[I>2 \cdot 5 \sigma(I)$ ]. The angle between the c 1988 International Union of Crystallography
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